IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE DEMOCRATIC SOCIALIST REPUBLIC OF SRI LANKA

Court of Appeal Case No. CA 1039/98(F)

01. Don Karolis Jasingh Dissanayake (Deceased) Paranawatta,Rotumba

01.A. Don Charlis Jasingh Dissanayake Rotumba

02. Don Charlis Jasingh Dissanayake (Deceased)

02.A. Ajantha Pushpakumara Jasingh Dissanayake Heiamurawatta,Rotumba

PLAINTIFFS

<u>Vs.</u>

- 01. Don Hendrick Jasingh Dissanayake Bengamuwa.
- 02. Don Pedrik Jayasingh Dissanayake. Bengamuwa.
- 03. Dona Sisiliyana Jasingh Disanayake. (Deceased) Bengamuwa.

03.A. Don Pedrik Jasingh Dissanayake. Bengamuwa.

- 04. Kumasaruge Sirisena. Godawela,Kolonna Korale,Ratnapura
- 05. Pemawathi Kllmasaru Godawela,Kolonna Korale.Ratnapura

- 06. Titus Sunil Jasingh Dissanayake, Rotumba.
- 07. Sepala Rathnayake seelic.Rotumba.

07.A. Piyadasa Jasingh Dissanyake, Rotumba.

- 08. Piyadasa Jasingh Dissanyake, Rotllmba.
- 09. Ariyadasa JasinghDissanayake,Rotumba.
- 10. Karunathilaka Jasingh Dissanayekc.Rotumba.
- 11. Dhanapala Jasingh Dissanayake, Rotumba
- 12. Kandage Dingihami, Rotumba.
- 13. Ranaweera Senanayake, Batugahakoratuwa. Rotumba.
- 14. Ranawakage Hamina, Pitawatta, Rotumba.
- 15. Prathapage Martin Appuhamy ,Pitawatta,Rotumba.

AND 37 OTHERS

DEFENDANT -RESPONDENTS

<u>C.A. No. 1039/98(F)</u> <u>D.C. Matara Case No. 11571/P</u>

Before : K.T. Chitrasiri, J.

<u>Counsel</u> : M.S. Kusala Wijesundera instructed by Nayana Athukorale appears for the appellant.

Plaintiff-Respondent is absent and unrepresented.

Nilantha Sirimanne for the Substituted 2A Defendant-Respondent.

W. Dayaratne, P.C. with D. Dayaratne for 23^{rd} to 25^{th} , 33rd to 36th, 43^{rd} , 44^{th} , 45^{th} and 51^{st} Defendant-Respondents.

B. Gamage for 52nd Defendant-Respondent.

 $\frac{\text{Argued \&}}{\text{Decided on}} : 24.10.2012.$

K. T. Chitrasiri, J.

Counsel for the appellant submits that she, as well as the Registered Attorney of the appellant have not received instructions from the appellant even though the Registered Attorney by her letter dated 21.07.2012 had informed the appellant to instruct them in order to pursue this appeal. She further submits that the appellant has sent a letter to

1

the Registered Attorney stating that the appellant does not wish to proceed with this appeal. Those two letters, namely the letter dated 21.07.2012 sent by the Registered Attorney and the letter dated 31.07.2012 sent by the appellant are being tendered to Court. She moves that those two letters be filed in the docket.

In these circumstances, Counsel for the appellant moves to withdraw this appeal. Counsel for the Respondents have no objections for the appeal being withdrawn. Application for withdrawal of the appeal is allowed. Accordingly the appeal is dismissed without costs.

At this stage, Counsel appearing for the party sought to be substituted in place of the 2^{nd} plaintiff-respondent, submits that the 1A defendant-respondent and 2^{nd} defendant-respondent are the sons of the deceased 2^{nd} plaintiff-respondent. He also submits that this appeal had been filed after the delivery of the impugned judgment. Accordingly he further submits that the substitution has not been effected yet in order to substitute 1A and 2^{nd} plaintiff-respondent in the room of deceased 2^{nd} plaintiff-respondent. In the circumstances, learned District Judge is directed to consider the application to substitute the heirs of the 2^{nd} plaintiff-respondent in the room of the deceased 2^{nd} plaintiff-respondent in the event an application is made.

Appeal dismissed without costs.

JUDGE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL

AKN