## IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE DECMOCRATIC SOCIALIST REPUBLIC OF SRI LANKA

C.A. Appeal No: 1299/98 (F)

D.C. Maravila No: 172/P

Warnakula Aditya Arsaneela Itta Mahamuhandiramge Hilda Ponse Dondeenu, Of No.84, Angampitiya-East, Waikkala

## **Petitioner**

- 1. Warnakulasuriya Joseph Luise Tissera
- 2. Warnakulasuriya Aloysius Tissera, Both of Angampitiya, Waikkala

## **1st and 2nd Defendant Appellant-Respondents**

Vs.

- 1. Warnakulasuriya Lurdu Mary Tissera.
- 2. Warnakula Aditya Arsaneela Itta Mahamuhandiramge Paustinu,
- 3. Bernadette Mary Conseeliya Dondeenu All of Angampitiya, Waikkala

## **Plaintiff Respondent Respondents**

 Warnakulasuriya Marthina Fernando, Of Angampitiya, Waikkala

**Defendant Respondent-Respondent** 

Case No. CA 1299/98(Final)

DC Marawila 172/P

BEFORE

K.T. Chitrasiri, J.

COUNSEL

Rohan Sahabandu P.C. for the 1st & 2nd

Defendant-Appellants.

Dr. Sunil Cooray for the 1st - 3rd Plaintiff-

Respondents.

ARGUED &

**DECIDED ON**:

12.02.2013

K.T. CHITRASIRI, J.

This is an appeal seeking to set aside the order made on

17.02.1998 by the learned District Judge of Marawila. The said order is

seen in the Journal Entry bearing No. 67 made in the original record

wherein the learned District Judge confirmed the final plan and its

report that are found at pages 186 - 197 in the brief.

In terms of Section 36(A) of the Partition (Amendment) Act No. 17

of 1997, the party aggrieved by an order confirming the final plan which

1

obtain leave of the Court of Appeal at the first instance and then only he/she is allowed to proceed with the appeal. In this instance, leave of

indicates the blocking out of the land sought to be partitioned, should

this Court had not been obtained by the appellants to proceed with the

appeal. They had not even made an application to do so. Obtaining

leave of Court is a mandatory requirement in terms of Section 36(A) of

the Partition (Amendment) Act No. 17 of 1997.

In the circumstances, this Court has no option than to dismiss this appeal since the appellants have failed to obtain leave of Court as mentioned above. Accordingly, this appeal is dismissed without costs.

Appeal dismissed.

JUDGE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL

KRL/-

2