IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE DEMOCRATIC SOCIALIST REPUBLIC OF SRI LANKA

- 1. Nawagamuwage Chandrawathie Perera,
- Weweldeniya Arachchige Weerasena, Both of Malpitiya, Boyagane.

Plaintiff

C.A. No. 874 / 2000 F

D.C. Kurunegala No. 5822 / M

Vs.

- Don Neville Dudley Sebastian Senclayard Jayatunge, No. 185, Puttalam Road, Anamaduwa.
- Heenkanda Mudalige Don Francis Sunil Shantha, No. 32, Katchimaduwa, Kottukachchiya.

Defendants

AND NOW BETWEEN

 Don Neville Dudley Sebastian Senclayard Jayatunge, No. 185, Puttalam Road, Anamaduwa.

1st Defendant Appellant

Vs

1. Nawagamuwage Chandrawathie Perera.

 Weweldeniya Arachchige Weerasena,
Both of Malpitiya,
Boyagane.

Plaintiff Respondent

Heenkanda Mudalige Don Francis Sunil Shantha, No. 32, Katchimaduwa, Kottukachchiya.

2nd Defendant Respondent

BEFORE : UPALY ABEYRATHNE, J.

<u>COUNSELS</u>: The 1st Defendant Appellant – Absent and

unrepresented

Ajith Jayathilake for the Plaintiff Respondent

ARGUED ON : 06.06.2012

<u>DECIDED ON</u> : 26.02.2013

UPALY ABEYRATHNE, J.

The 1st and 2nd Plaintiff Respondent (hereinafter referred to as the Respondents) instituted the said action against the 1st and 2nd Defendant in the District Court of Kurunegala seeking to recover a sum of Rs. 200.000/- as damages resulting from an accident. The Respondent alleged that the 1st Defendant was the registered owner of the vehicle bearing No 41 Sri 1535. On or about 27.10.1997 the said vehicle which was driven by the 2nd Defendant along Colombo Kurunegala main Road has collided with the building belonged to the Respondent.

The 1st Defendant in his answer has admitted the said accident and has estimated the damage caused to the said building to a sum of Rs. 25,000/-. He further averred that at Pothuhera Police Station he paid Rs 5,000/ out of the said Rs. 25,000/- and he agreed to pay the balance sum in two instalments.

The case proceeded to trial on 20 issues. After trial the learned District Judge delivered judgement in favour the Respondent in a sum of Rs. 75,000/-. Being aggrieved by the said judgment dated 03.10.2000 the 1st Defendant Appellant (hereinafter referred to as the Appellant) has appealed to this court.

The Appellant has set out several grounds of appeal in paragraph 10 of the petition of appeal. Since the Appellant has admitted and has estimated the damage caused the Respondents to a sum of Rs. 25,000/- the only question to be dealt with by this Court is whether the order of Rs 75,000/ as damages is excessive?

I have carefully considered the evidence led before court and the impugned judgment of the learned District Judge. It seems to me that the learned trial judge has come to a right conclusion after going through the evidence of the case.

In the said circumstances I see no reason to interfere with the judgement of the learned District Judge dated 03.10.2000. Therefore I dismiss the appeal of the Appellant with costs.

Appeal dismissed.