# IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE DEMOCRATIC SOCIALIST REPUBLIC OF SRI LANKA

CIC Fertilizers (Pvt) Ltd. 205 1/1, D.R. Wijewardane Mawatha, Colombo 10.

Plaintiff

C.A. No. 1094 / 2000 F

D.C. Colombo No. 15466/MR

### Vs.

- 1. Shantha Paranamana,
- 2. Sarath Paranamana,
  Carrying on business under the name style and firm of
  P.M. Brothers at No. 44,
  Main Street, Ambalantota.

**Defendants** 

### AND NOW BETWEEN

CIC Fertilizers (Pvt) Ltd. 205 1/1, D.R. Wijewardane Mawatha, Colombo 10.

Plaintiff Appellant

#### Vs

- 1. Shantha Paranamana,
- Sarath Paranamana,
   Carrying on business under the name style and firm of P.M. Brothers at No. 44,
   Main Street, Ambalantota.

**Defendant Respondents** 

C.A. No. 1093 / 2000 F

Sarath Paranamana, Carrying on business under the name style and firm of P.M. Brothers at No. 44, Main Street, Ambalantota.

2<sup>nd</sup> Defendant Appellant

Vs.

CIC Fertilizers (Pvt) Ltd. 205 1/1, D.R. Wijewardane Mawatha, Colombo 10.

Plaintiff Respondent

BEFORE : UPALY ABEYRATHNE, J.

<u>COUNSELS</u>: Plaintiff Appellant and 2<sup>nd</sup> Defendant Appellant-

Absent and unrepresented

Respondents – Absent and unrepresented

<u>DECIDED ON</u> : 05.05.2014

## UPALY ABEYRATHNE, J.

The Plaintiff instituted the said action against the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> Defendants in the District Court of Colombo seeking to recover a sum of Rs. 666,157.50 from the said defendants. 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> Defendants filed their answer denying the averments contained in the plaint and praying for a dismissal of the said action. Case proceeded to trial on 17 issues. After trial the learned Additional District Judge delivered a judgment in favour of the Plaintiff against the 2<sup>nd</sup>

3

Defendant. Being aggrieved by the said judgment dated 06.12.2000 the Plaintiff and the 2<sup>nd</sup> Defendant has appealed to this court.

In their petitions of appeal the Plaintiff and the 2<sup>nd</sup> Defendant have set out several grounds of appeal. I have carefully examined the evidence of the case and the impugned judgment. But I see no reason to interfere with the said judgment dated 06.12.2000. Therefore I dismiss the appeals of the Plaintiff and the 2<sup>nd</sup> Defendant without costs.

Appeal dismissed.

Judge of the Court of Appeal