IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE DEMOCRATIC SOCIALIST RPUBLIC OF SRI LANKA

Jayasuriya Hewage Cyril Padmasiri 24A, Neralu Place, Kirigampamunuwa Polgasowita.

PETITIONER

C.A 315/2012 (Writ)

Vs.

- Hon. Maithripala Sirisena, Minister of Health Ministry of Health
 385, Baddegama Wimalawansa Thero Mwatha, Colombo 10.
- National Authority on Tobacco & Alcohol NATA Office,
 385, Baddegama Wimalawansa Thero Mwatha, Colombo 10.
- Hon. Attorney General
 Attorney General's Department,
 Colombo 12.

BEFORE:

Anil Gooneratne J. &

Malinie Gunaratne J.

COUNSEL:

Madurange Rathnayake for the Petitioner

Janak de Silva D.S.G. with Suranga Wimalasena

for the Respondents

ARGUED ON:

03.02.2014 & 07.02.2014

DECIDED ON:

13.05.2014

GOONERATNE J.

The Petitioner in this application has sought mandates in the nature of Writ of Mandamus and Prohibition. In paragraph 1 of the petition it is pleaded that this application is filed in the interest of the public. A mandamus is sought to direct the Minister (1st Respondent) to place regulation P4 before Parliament and to fully implement the regulation P4. Prohibition is sought to restrain the Respondents from rescinding or weakening or delulsing the contents of regulation P4. The subject matter of this application was considered by this court in CA 336/2012 and a judgment is to be delivered, today.

The journal entry of 4.4.2013 indicate that the learned counsel for the Respondents informed court on that day that objections would not be filed on behalf of the Respondents and submitted to court as regards the publication of the Gazette in question and the implementations of same. By motion dated

3

19.3.2014 (filed in CA 336/2012) as per paragraph (a) of the said motion the

regulation which are relevant to the subject matter of this application P10 was

placed before Parliament and approved by Parliament on 19.2.2014.

In the above circumstances the remedy sought by way of Mandamus

and Prohibition are more or less fulfilled. As such this court observes that this

application would only be of academic interest in view of the decision in CA

336/2012. Subject to the above views we proceed to dismiss this application

without costs.

Application dismissed.

JUDGE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL

W.M.M. Malinie Gunaratne J.

I agree.

JUDGE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL