IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE DEMOCRATIC SOCIALIST REPUBLIC OF SRI LANKA

In the matter of an Application for a mandate in the nature of *Writ of Certiorari* under article 140 of the Constitution of the Democratic Socialist Republic of Sri Lanka

Sinhalage Jagath Samarawickrama, The Chairman, Pradeshiya Sabha of Dimbulagala, Dimbulagala.

PETITIONER

C.A. Writ 168/2015

Vs,

1. Hon. Peshala Jayaratne,

Chief Minister and Minister in Charge of the subject of

Local Government,

Office of the Chief Ministry,

Provincial Council of the North Central Province

Anuradhapura

2. Mr. K.K. Sunil Gamini Perera,

Retired High Court Judge,

No. 74/11,

Jaya Road, Udahamulla,

Nugegoda.

3. W.P.A. Manel,

Commissioner of Local Government of the North

Central Province,

Office of the Commissioner of Local Government,

Anuradhapura.

4. Dimbulagala Pradeshiya Sabha,

Dimbulagala.

5. B.S.Podimenike,

The Secretary,

Dimbulagala Pradeshiya Sabha,

Dimbulagala.

RESPONDENTS

BEFROE:- Vijith K. Malalgoda PC J (P/CA)

COUNSEL:- Mahanama de. Silva with K.N.M. Dilrukshi, Udaya Athapattu

and Shalika Ishani for the Petitioner

Harindra Rajapaksha for the 1st and 3rd Respondents

Ranjan Mendis for the 4th and 5th Respondents

Supported on:

13.11.2015

Written submission:

12.01.2016

Ordered on:

15.07.2016

Order

Vijith K. Malalgoda PC J

Petitioner to the present application was the former chairman of the Dimbulagala Pradeshiya Sabha. He has come before this court seeking a writ of Certiorari to quash an order made by the 1st Respondent under section 185 (1) of the Pradeshiya Sabha Act No. 15 of 1987 removing the said Petitioner from the post of chairman and membership of Dimbulagala Pradeshiya Sabha.

In addition to the 1st Respondent who is the Chief Minister and Minister in Charge of the Subject of Local Government of the North Central Province, the Petitioner had made as the 2nd Respondent the inquiry officer appointed by the 1st Respondent under section 185 of the said Act.

The commissioner of Local Government North Central Province, Dimbulagala Pradeshiya Sabha and the Secretary to the Dimbulagala Pradeshiya Sabha had been made as the 3rd,4th and 5th Respondents.

In addition to the above Respondents the Petitioner had made Deputy Chairman and the other member of the Dimbulagala Pradeshiya Sabha as 6th to 16th Respondents to the application.

However prior to this application was supported before this court, the said Pradeshiya Sabha was dissolved and thereafter the petitioner had moved court to amend the Caption and support the application. The above application was made in open court on 27.05.2015 when this matter comes up for support before this court. Petitioner had filed an amended petition before this court on 26.06.2015 and in the said amended petition only the 1st to 5th Respondents were added as parties.

When the amended petition was supported before this court on 13.11.2015, the counsel representing the 1st to 5th Respondents have raised a preliminary objection on the basis that necessary parties to the application (i.e. 6th-16th Respondents to the original petition) are not before the court and moved that the application be dismissed in limine.

When the said preliminary objection was raised before this court, parties moved to file written submissions with regard to the said preliminary objection and the matter went down for written submissions. However prior to the dead line to file the written submissions, the Petitioner by motion dated 18.11.2015 moved this court to withdraw

the amended caption he has filed on 26.06.2015.

However the said motion was disallowed by this court and the parties have now filed written submissions on the

preliminary objection raised by the 1st to 5th Respondents.

As observed by this court the main matter has not yet been supported before this court and therefore this court is

not interested in going through the merits of this case at this stage. Whilst raising the preliminary objection

before this court, the 1st to 3rd and 4th to 5th Respondents have gone through the facts of this matter to show that

the former members of the Pradeshiya Sabha are necessary parties to the application. Since the petitioner has not

supported the matter before this court, it is premature for this court to take a decision whether the petitioner has

failed to make necessary parties, as Respondents to the amended petition or not.

Therefore this court in not inclined to allow the preliminary objection and dismiss this application in limine at

this moment but decides to consider the said preliminary objection along with the main case when considering

the issuance of notices on the Respondents.

Court decides to fix the main matter for support for notices, subject to considering the above preliminary

objection at that stage.

PRESIDENT OF THE COURT OF APPEAL