IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE DEMOCRATIC SOCIALIST REPUBLIC OF SRI LANKA

In the matter of an Application for Revision under and in terms of Article 138 of the Constitution of the Democratic Socialist Republic of Sri Lanka

Liyanaarachchi Chirantha Prasad

No.10, Dhammathsara Mawatha Ambalangoda

PLAINTIFF

CA/RI/13/2016

DC (Elpitiya) Case No. M/981

-Vs-

1. Kiringodage Samantha

Weerasuriya

Alias Walawedirigodage Samantha Weerasuriya No.21 C, Batagawa North Ja-Ela

2. Ceylinco Insurance Company

No.69, Janadipathi Mawatha Colombo 01

DEFENDANTS

Liyanaarachchi Chirantha Prasad

No.10, Dhammathsara Mawatha Ambalangoda

PETITIONER

-Vs-

1. Kiringodage Samantha

Weerasuriya

Alias Walawedirigodage Samantha Weerasuriya No.21 C, Batagawa North Ja-Ela

RESPONDENT

AND NOW BETWEEN

Kiringodage Samantha Weerasuriya

Alias Walawedirigodage Samantha
Weerasuriya
No.21 C, Batagawa North
Ja-Ela
Presently at Brunnenweg 29,
64297, Darmstadt
Germany
Appearing by his Power of
Attorney holder Pandi
Kankanamge Anoma

Priyadarshani of No.21 C, Batagawa North Ja-Ela

RESPONDENT-PETITIONER

-Vs-

Liyanaarachchi Chirantha Prasad

No.10, Dhammathsara Mawatha Ambalangoda

PETITIONER-RESPONDENT

Ceylinco Insurance Company

No.69, Janadipathi Mawatha Colombo 01

RESPONDENT

On this 7th day of March 2017

TO: HIS LORDSHIP THE PRESIDENT AND THEIR LORDSHIPS THE OTHER HONOURABLE JUSTICES OF THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE DEMOCRATIC SOCIALIST REPUBLIC OF SRI LANKA

The statement of objections of the Respondent abovenamed appearing by Chandrasiri Kotigala Attorney-at-Law together with his assistants R.M Perera, Chandana Madhavila, H.M Pethiyagoda, Sarana Lamabadusuriya and Dhammika Roshini Wickramasinghe their Attorney- at - Law respectfully state as follows:-

1) The Defendant-Respondent (hereinafter referred to as the "Respondent") denies to all and singular the several averments contained in the petition of

DC (Elpitiya) M/981

CA/RI/13/2016

BEFORE: A.H.M.D. Nawaz, J.

COUNSEL: Vijith Singh with Chithrananda Liyanage for the Petitioner

Yasas de Silva for the Petitioner-Respondent

M. Shaheem Wazeer for the Respondent

DECIDED ON : 09.05.2017

A.H.M.D. Nawaz, J.

Having heard all the counsel in this case and having regard to the submissions made by Mr. Yasas de Silva who appears for the Petitioner-Respondent namely, Liyanarachchi Chirantha Prasath the Defendant in DC (Elpitiya) Case No.981/M, this Court takes the view that the order dated 07.07.2016, and all the directions contained in the said order of the learned District Judge of Elpitiya in DC 981/M should be set aside. This Court on 15.12.2016, suspended the aforesaid order dated 07.07.2016, which had ordered a travel ban on the Petitioner. This Court also suspended the order of the learned District Judge to arrest the Petitioner upon arrival in Sri Lanka consequent to a civil warrant issued by the learned District Judge of Elpitiya in his order dated 07.07.2016.

The Registrar of the Court of Appeal has already communicated the orders to the District Court as well as the Commissioner of Immigration and Emigration, Battaramulla. Both Counsel for the Petitioner-Respondent Mr. Yasas de Silva and Ceylon Insurance Corporation Mr. Shaheem Wazeer, have no objections to this Court making a final order setting aside the order of the learned District Judge dated 07.07.2016.

In the circumstances, this Court proceeds to set aside the order and the learned District Judge of Elpitiya is directed to continue with this case by taking steps that are spelt out in the Civil Procedure Code.

In the circumstances, this application is allowed.