## IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE DEMOCRAIC SOCIALIST REPUBLIC OF SRI LANKA.

Court of Appeal Case No : CA WRIT/273/2013	
	Upasena Weerasinghe
	Petitioner
	Vs.
	The Hon. Attorney General Attorney General's Department Colombo 12
	Respondents

C.A. No. 273/2013

:

H.C. Ratnapura No. HCR 100/2000

BEFORE

DEEPALI WIJESUNDERA J. &

L. U. JAYASURIYA, J.

COUNSEL

Saliya Peiris P.C. with Susil Wanigapura for the

Accused-Appellant.

Yasantha Kodagoda P.C. (A.S.G) with Randima

Fernando S.C. for the respondents.

ARGUED AND

DECIDED ON

01st February, 2018

\*\*\*\*\*

## **DEEPALI WIJESUNDERA J.**

Accused-Appellant is present in Court produced by the Prison Authorities.

Heard submissions of Counsel for the Accused- Appellant and the learned Additional Solicitor General for the respondent. The Accused-Appellant had been charged under Section 140 of the Penal Code on

the 1st Count and on the 2nd count he had been charged under Section 296 read with Section 146 of the Penal Code. He had been charged under Section 296 read with Section 32 of the Penal Code on the 3rd count. After trial, he was convicted on the 1st and the 3rd counts and acquitted from the 2nd count. On the 1st count he has been sentenced to a term of 06 months imprisonment and a fine of Rs. 5000/= had been imposed with a default sentence of 06 weeks imprisonment, in addition he has been ordered to pay a sum of Rs.7500/= as compensation to prosecution witness No.2 with a default sentence of 06 weeks imprisonment. He was convicted for culpable homicide not amounting to murder on the 3rd count and sentenced to a term of 08 years Imprisonment and a fine of Rs. 1000/- with a default sentence of 06 months imprisonment, in addition he has been ordered to pay a sum of Rs. 500,000/=as compensation to prosecution witness No.7 with a default sentence of 02 years Rigorous Imprisonment.

Considering the submissions of Counsel for the accused-appellant and the learned Additional Solicitor General for the respondent, we decide to back date the sentence of 8 years imprisonment imposed on the 3<sup>rd</sup> count to the date of conviction which is 19.12.2013. We decide to enhance the default term of 02 years given in Count No. 3 to a term of 04 years. All the sentences should run concurrently other than the

3

default sentences. The default term of 04 years imprisonment with regard to the compensation should run consecutively. We affirm the conviction. Subject to the above variations in the sentence, the appeal is dismissed.

JUDGE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL

## L. U. JAYASURIYA, J.

I agree.

JUDGE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL

Kwk/=