IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE DEMOCRATIC SOCIALIST REPUBLIC OF SRI LANKA.

In the matter of an application for mandate in nature of Writ of Certiorari in terms of Article 140 of the Constitution of the Democratic Socialist Republic of Sri Lanka.

Mohamed Thajudeen Mohamed

Sadiq

No. 250/2,

Wennawatte,

Wellampitiya.

Presently at

No. B 121/1,

Mosque Road,

Hapugasthalawa.

Petitioner

Court of Appeal Case No:

CA/Writ /29/2020

Vs.

1. Fathima Afra

No. 166/18/A,

Layards Broadway,

Grandpass,

Colombo 14.

Hussain Azhar SainoonQuazi Judge,

^{1 |} Writ 29 2020 Judgment - Justice Dushmanta N. Samarakoon & Justice Sasi Mahendran.

Quazi for Colombo East,

No. 120/1,

Kolonnawa Road,

Kolonnawa.

Respondents

_

Before: Hon. Justice. D.N. Samarakoon

Hon. Justice Sasi Mahendran

Counsel: Yoosuf Nazar instructed by A.I.I. Fana for the Petitioner.

Murshid Maharoof with Shoaib Ahamed and Jemiah Sourjah for

the

2nd Respondent.

Argued on: 03.02.2022

Written Submissions on: 24.02.2022 by the 2nd Respondent.

Decided on: 31.05.2022

D.N. Samarakoon, J

<u>Judgement</u>

The learned Quasi has by his order dated 22.11.2014 ordered maintenance for Rs. 15,000/- monthly to the 01st respondent and Rs. 10,000/- monthly for the

^{2 |} Writ 29 2020 Judgment - Justice Dushmanta N. Samarakoon & Justice Sasi Mahendran.

child against the petitioner. The petitioner has appealed to the Board of Quazis, which vacated the said order and directed an inquiry de novo.

which vacated the said order and directed an inquiry de novo.

In the subsequent inquiry the 02^{nd} respondent Quazi has by order dated

16.09.2017 has ordered to pay Rs. 12,000/- monthly for the 01st respondent

and Rs. 10,000/- monthly for the child.

The petitioner has again preferred an appeal to the Board of Quazis. But this

fact was not known to the 02^{nd} respondent who issued notice on the petitioner

and thereafter an enforcement order which he directed to the Magistrate's

Court. In the Magistrate's Court the petitioner has paid the arrears of

maintenance.

The petitioner in this application seeks a writ of certiorari to quash the

enforcement order of the 02nd respondent.

But it is admitted that although the petitioner has filed a motion before the

Board of Quazis to issue an interim order against the 02nd respondent it has

never been supported.

The 02nd respondent therefore did not have any impediment against exercising

his jurisdiction under section 64(1) of Part VIII of Muslim Marriages and

Divorce Act.

Hence there is no reason to issue a writ of certiorari in this application. The

application is dismissed.

Judge of the Court of Appeal.

Hon. Justice Sasi Mahendran

I agree.

3 | Writ 29 2020 Judgment - Justice Dushmanta N. Samarakoon & Justice Sasi Mahendran.

Judge of the Court of Appeal.