## IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE DEMOCRATIC SOCIALIST REPUBLIC OF SRI LANKA.

# C.A. (PHC) No. 143/2007

H.C. Panadura (Rev) No. 29/05

K.A. Piyadasa alias Piyadasa Kandanamulla

# Appellant

## Vs.

S.A.N. Keerthi Perera No. 79/2A, Siyabalagoda, Polgasowita.

Respondent

<u>C.A. (PHC)</u>	No. 143/200	<u>H.C. Panadura (Rev) No. 29/05</u>
<u>BEFORE</u>	:	A.W.A.SALAM, J. &
		SUNIL RAJAPAKSHE, J.
COUSNEL	:	Appellant absent and unrepresented.
		Ranjan Mendis Ashoka C. Kandambi for the
		1 <sup>st</sup> respondent
DECIDED (	<u> </u>	29 <sup>th</sup> July, 2013.

\*\*\*\*\*

#### A.W.A.SALAM, J.

Notice issued on the appellant calling for brief fees has been returned with the remark "address insufficient". The letter addressed to the appellant has been directed to be served at the address furnished by the appellant. Since the appellant has not furnished any alternative address and the Registrar has complied with the Rule by sending notices to the given address, for failure to deposit brief fees, this appeal is rejected.

### JUDGE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL

### SUNIL RAJAPAKSHE, J.

I agree.

## JUDGE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL

Kwk/=