# IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE DEMOCRATIC SOCIALIST REPUBLIC OF SRI LANKA

CA Writ No. 334/2012

Singappullige Mallika Kusumalatha No. 63/2, Galanigama, Bandaragama.

#### **PETITIONER**

Vs.

The General Manager, Electrical The Head Office, Ceylon Electricity Board, Sri Chiththampalam A. Gardiner Avenue, Colombo 02.

02. The Regional Engineer, Electrical The Regional Office, Ceylon Electricity Board No. 34, Kaluthara Road, Bandaragama.

03. Superintendent, Electrical The Regional Office, Ceylon Electricity Board No. 34, Kaluthara Road, Bandaragama.

04. Ceylon Electricity Board The Head Office Sri Chiththampalam A. Gardiner Avenue, Colombo 02.

05. The Divisional Secretary
The Divisional Secretariat, Bandaragama.

06. The Director General The Public Utilities Commissions, 6th Floor, BOC Merchant Tower, No. 28, St. Michael's Road, Colombo 03.

07. P. Techala Pathmini Perera "Sandaruwan", Galanigama, (temporarilyresiding at Puwakgaslanda Road, Gammanpila, Bandaragama).

08. Hon. Attorney General Attorney General Department, Colombo 12.

RESPONDENTS

### CA 334/2012 WRIT

BEFORE

A.H.M.D. Nawaz, J. &

P. Padman Surasena, J.

COUNSEL:

Nagitha Wijesekara with G. Rubasinghe for the

petitioner.

Sanjaya Kannangara for the 1st - 4th respondents.

J.C. Boange with Sunil Liyanage for the 7th respondent.

Suranga Wimalasena SSC for AG.

**DECIDED ON:** 

29.11.2016

#### A.H.M.D. NAWAZ, J.

The petitioner prayed for a writ of mandamus compelling the 1st and/or 2nd and/or 3rd and/or 4th respondents to implement the directions given by the 5th respondent shown in documents marked P 8 and P 8A. This application arises out of an issue where the petitioner sought the assistance of the 1st – 4th respondents to have a particular post standing on his land relocated. There had been a site inspection and thereafter the Divisional Secretary by P 6 wrote to the Public Utilities Commission referring to an agreement reached among all the parties as to the relocation of this particular post. Thereafter the Public Utilities

Commission has approved by P7 the proposed relocation and notified that decision to the Ceylon Electricity Board. Counsel who appears for the 1st - 4th respondents submits to Court that despite the best endeavours to enforce the approval of the Public Utilities Commission which came about as a result of the direction of the Divisional Secretariat, they have failed to implement and enforce the relocation as a result of the obstruction to the efforts made by the 7th respondent. Senior state counsel who appears for the Public Utilities Commission, 5th, 6th, & 8th respondents states that the order has been made under Section 39(1) of the Electricity Act. establishes the fact that there is a remedy available to the 1st to 4th respondents to move the relevant Magistrate's Court to carry into effect the order which they wish to enforce namely the relocation of the post. It becomes apparent from the argument that the 1st to 4th respondents are yet to take steps. Mr. Sanjaya Kannangara undertakes to take steps to move the Magistrate's Court in order to carry out the decision of relocation which has already been made. The Legal officer of the Ceylon Electricity Board takes cognizance of the order that we make today in regard to this matter.

The 1<sup>st</sup> – 4<sup>th</sup> respondents will pay due regard to Section 09 of schedule 2 of the Sri Lanka Electricity Act No. 20 of 2009.

The counsel for the  $1^{st}$  –  $4^{th}$  respondents undertakes to proceed to take steps in the Magistrate's Court. In the circumstances, Mr. Wijesekara for

the petitioner makes an application to withdraw this application for a writ of mandamus. Subject to the aforesaid order we proceed to dismiss this application and terminate all proceedings.

#### JUDGE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL

## P. PADMAN SURASENA, J.

I agree.

JUDGE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL

KRL/-